

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. US5905865 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. US5905865 (the "US5905865 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

Patent Summary: US5905865

Washington, D.C. - A review of United States Patent US5905865, titled "Apparatus and method of automatically accessing on-line services in response to broadcast of on-line addresses," provides a detailed look at a technology for linking broadcast media with the internet. This analysis, current as of April 28, 2026, is based on a direct examination of the patent's records.

Key Patent Details:

- Title: Apparatus and method of automatically accessing on-line services in response to broadcast of on-line addresses
- Assignee: As of the latest available information, the patent was assigned to Disney Enterprises, Inc. The original assignee was Web Pager Inc.
- ...

2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the US5905865 Patent:

An analysis of the prior art cited during the examination of US patent US5905865 reveals the landscape of technology at the time of the invention. The examiner cited several patents that

touched upon the integration of broadcast media with data services. Below is an analysis of the most relevant prior art references and their potential impact on the claims of US5905865.

Analysis of Cited Prior Art

The following patents were cited by the USPTO examiner during the prosecution of the application that became US5905865. This analysis evaluates their relevance to the patent's independent claims.

1. US Patent 5,594,936: "Paging system with subscriber profile"

- Full Citation: US Patent 5,594,936, "Paging system with subscriber profile," assigned to Mobile Telecommunication Technologies Corp.
- Filing Date: June 7, 1995
- Publication Date: January 14, 1997
- Brief Description: This patent describes a paging system where information is broadcast to a large number of subscribers. Crucially, it allows for the creation of subscriber profiles. Based on these profiles, the system can...

3. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Independent of § 102, we believe the asserted claims are obvious in view of combinations of prior art that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine:

As of April 26, 2026, this analysis evaluates the obviousness of US patent US5905865 under 35 U.S.C. § 103, based on the prior art references cited during its examination. An invention is considered obvious if the differences between the invention and the prior art are such that the invention as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art (PHOSITA).

For the purposes of this analysis, a PHOSITA in late 1995 would likely have a degree in computer science or electrical engineering, with several years of experience in network communications, data broadcasting, and emerging internet technologies like the World Wide Web.

The core concept of US5905865 is the real-time, synchronized "push" of an actionable on-line address (e.g., a URL) to a computer, which corresponds to a simultaneous audio/video broadcast and causes the computer to automatically access that remote on-line service without user intervention.

Based on the cited prior art, compelling arguments for obviousness can be constructed by combining existing...

4. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatenttrols.com — sample only.