

**SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE.** This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

**Re: U.S. Patent No. 9942705 — Response to Assertion of Infringement**

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9942705 (the "9942705 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

## **1. Subject Patent — Summary**

Here is a summary of U.S. Patent No. 9,942,705:

Title: Location sharing group for services provision

Assignee: X One Inc.

Inventor: Richard D. Haney

Filing Date: February 9, 2017

Issue Date: April 10, 2018

Abstract:

A group of mobile, GPS-enabled cellular phone users can form a group of buddies who can see the location of each other on a map on their phone's display. The group can be permanent or ad hoc. Any member of the group can select any other member and have the server computer a route from the selector's present location to the location of the selected member and to push down to the selector's phone turn-by-turn directions. The phone can be configured to periodically send its...

## **2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art**

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the 9942705 Patent:

Here is an analysis of the prior art cited in U.S. Patent No. 9,942,705.

Analysis of Prior Art for U.S. Patent No. 9,942,705

Based on the patent documentation, the following are the prior art references cited against US Patent 9,942,705 and an analysis of their potential relevance to the patent's claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (Anticipation).

U.S. Patent Documents Cited

1. U.S. Patent No. 6,819,919 B2 - Remote Monitoring of a Mobile Asset

Publication Date: November 16, 2004

Filing Date: June 14, 2002

Assignee: ATX Group, Inc.

Brief Description: This patent describes a system for remotely monitoring a mobile asset, such as a vehicle. A user can request the location of the asset via a website, which then communicates with a telematics unit in the asset to obtain its location and display it on a map. It includes features for setting up "geofences" and receiving notifications if the asset enters or leaves a designated area.

Potential Anticipation of Claims: This patent appears to disclose a system for location sharing and monitoring. It could be argued as anticipating the general...

#### **4. Litigation History of the Patent**

Public records reflect that the 9942705 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- X One Inc v. Neighborfavor Inc et al. — 2:26-cv-00313 · Texas Eastern District Court · filed 2026-04-17 · Open
- X One Inc v. Dominos Pizza Franchising LLC et al. — 2:26-cv-00311 · Texas Eastern District Court · filed 2026-04-17 · Open
- X One Inc v. DoorDash Inc et al. — 2:26-cv-00312 · Texas Eastern District Court · filed 2026-04-17 · Open
- X One Inc v. Pizza Hut Of America Inc et al. — 2:26-cv-00314 · Texas Eastern District Court · filed 2026-04-17 · Open
- X One, Inc. v. Uber Technologies, Inc. — 4:2016cv06050 · U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California · filed 2016-10-20 · Judgment
- X One, Inc. v. Domino's Pizza, Inc. et al. — 4:26-cv-03260 · U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California · filed 2026-04-17 · Open

#### **4. Request**

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

**DISCLAIMER.** This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

*Generated May 14, 2026 by [ihatepatenttrols.com](http://ihatepatenttrols.com) — sample only.*