

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 9730443 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9730443 (the "9730443 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

{"answer": "As a senior US patent analyst, here is a summary of US patent 9,730,443.

Summary of U.S. Patent 9,730,443

- Title: Methods and compositions for the generation of peracetic acid on site at the point-of-use.
- Assignee: Enviro Tech Chemical Services Inc.
- Inventors: Michael S. Harvey, Jonathan N. Howarth.
- Filing Date: March 7, 2016.
- Issue Date: August 15, 2017.
- Abstract: The patent discloses methods for generating non-equilibrium solutions of peroxyacetic acid. These methods involve introducing triacetin and aqueous hydrogen peroxide to water, mixing them, and then adding an aqueous source of an alkali metal or earth alkali metal hydroxide. This process rapidly converts...

4. Litigation History of the Patent

Public records reflect that the 9730443 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- Enviro Tech Chemical Services, Inc. v. Clean Chemistry, Inc. — 1:24-cv-01313 · U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas · filed 2024-10-29 · Open

• Clean Chemistry, Inc. v. Enviro Tech Chemical Services, Inc. — IPR2025-01459 · U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board · filed 2025-08-26 · Discretionary Denial

3. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatenttrolls.com — sample only.