

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 9423424 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9423424 (the "9423424 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

A technical analysis of U.S. Patent 9,423,424 reveals a targeted invention for enhancing the durability of probe modules used in semiconductor testing. No records were found of this patent in the dockets of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) for the year 2026.

Summary of U.S. Patent 9,423,424

Title: Current-diverting guide plate for probe module and probe module using the same

Assignee: MPI Corp

Inventors: Hsien-Ta HSU, Horng-Kuang Fan

Filing Date: January 10, 2014

Issue Date: August 23, 2016

Abstract:

The patent describes a current-diverting guide plate for a probe module. This plate includes a body with through-holes for probes, a conducting layer within each...

4. Litigation History of the Patent

Public records reflect that the 9423424 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- MPI Corp v. Technoprobe SPA — 2:26-cv-00305 · Texas Eastern District Court · filed

2026-04-17 · Open

3. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatentrolls.com — sample only.