

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 9242502 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9242502 (the "9242502 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

Summary of U.S. Patent 9,242,502

Title: Identification document and a method of producing

Assignee: As of the latest assignment recorded on October 30, 2025, the assignee is Thales DIS France SAS. The original assignee was Gemalto SA.

Inventors: Teemu Pohjola, Kristian Lappalainen

Filing Date: November 10, 2010

Issue Date: January 26, 2016

Abstract:

The invention relates to an identification document (21) comprising a non-transparent core (6), and one or more layers of a transparent material (14) arranged on at least a back (13) or a front surface (12) of said core. In order to achieve an identification document where forgery attempts are visibly detectable, the identification...

4. Litigation History of the Patent

Public records reflect that the 9242502 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- Thales DIS France SA v. Canadian Bank Note Company Ltd et al. — 6:06-cv-99999 - Virginia

Western District Court · filed 2026-04-23 · Open

• Thales DIS France SA v. Canadian Bank Note Company Ltd et al. — 6:26-cv-00040 · Virginia Western District Court · filed 2026-04-20 · Open

• Untitled case — 1:25-cv-01949 · U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia · pending

3. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatentrolls.com — sample only.