

**SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE.** This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

**Re: U.S. Patent No. 9198261 — Response to Assertion of Infringement**

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9198261 (the "9198261 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

## **1. Subject Patent — Summary**

Analysis of U.S. Patent 9,198,261

Date of Analysis: May 9, 2026

This report provides a concise summary of United States Patent 9,198,261, including its key bibliographic information and a plain-language overview of its independent claims.

Title: Illuminating device

Assignee: The current assignee of record is Brainstream GmbH. The original assignee was Schrimms GmbH. This information is based on the assignment data available as of February 22, 2024.

Inventors: Christian Schech and Bastian Wetzel.

Filing Date: August 30, 2011.

Issue Date: November 24, 2015.

Abstract: The patent describes an illuminating device designed to be placed within an object that can be opened and closed, such...

## **4. Litigation History of the Patent**

Public records reflect that the 9198261 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

### 3. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

**DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.**