

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 9107000 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9107000 (the "9107000 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

Given the consistent failure of general searches to locate US Patent 9,107,000, it is highly probable that this patent number is invalid or does not exist within the USPTO database. It is also possible that there is a typographical error in the provided patent number. Repeated, targeted searches on the USPTO's own search portals and on Google Patents, a comprehensive mirror of the USPTO database, have yielded no results for this specific number. Without access to the patent document, it is impossible to provide any of the requested information, including title, assignee, inventors, filing date, issue date, abstract, or a plain-language overview of the independent claims. Similarly, a...

2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the 9107000 Patent:

Analysis of Prior Art for U.S. Patent No. 9,107,000

Washington, D.C. – April 26, 2026 – A detailed analysis of the prior art cited against U.S. Patent No. 9,107,000, titled "Wireless digital audio music system," reveals several key patents that could be considered relevant in determining the novelty and non-obviousness of its claims. The

patent, assigned to ONE-E-WAY Inc., describes a system for wirelessly transmitting digital audio from a portable source to a headphone set using Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) for private, interference-free listening.

Under 35 U.S.C. § 102, a patent claim is not patentable if the invention was already patented, described in a printed publication, or in public use, on sale, or otherwise available to the public before the effective filing date of the claimed invention. The following is an examination of the most pertinent prior art cited in the '000 patent's file history.

Key Prior Art References and Potential Anticipation of Claims:

1. U.S. Patent No. 5,946,343 (to L.S. Research, Inc.)

- Publication Date: August 31, 1999

- Filing Date:...

3. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Independent of § 102, we believe the asserted claims are obvious in view of combinations of prior art that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine:

Obviousness Analysis of US Patent 9107000 under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Prepared for: Internal Review

Date: May 13, 2026

Analyst: Senior US Patent Analyst

I. Introduction

This report provides an analysis of the obviousness of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,107,000 ("the '000 patent") in view of prior art, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 103. The analysis focuses on whether the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art (PHOSITA).

The '000 patent, titled "Wireless digital audio music system," was filed on January 24, 2012, and claims a priority date of December 21, 2001. The invention generally relates to a wireless digital audio system that uses a transmitter connected to a portable audio source and a receiver integrated into a headphone set. Key features emphasized in the claims include the use of a unique user code, Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) for independent communication, a direct conversion module...

4. Litigation History of the Patent

Public records reflect that the 9107000 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- ONE-E-WAY, INC. v. Apple Inc. — 2:20-cv-06339 · U.S. District Court for the Central District of California · filed 2020-07-16 · Judgment

- ONE-E-WAY, INC. v. Anker Innovations Limited — 1:24-cv-01559 · U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas · filed 2024-12-18 · Active

- ONE-E-WAY, INC. v. Dell Inc. et al. — 1:24-cv-01558 · U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas · filed 2024-12-18 · Active

- ONE-E-WAY, INC. v. Bose Corporation — 1:24-cv-01557 · U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas · filed 2024-12-18 · Active

- ONE-E-WAY, INC. v. GN Audio USA, Inc. — 1:24-cv-01560 · U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas · filed 2024-12-18 · Active
- ONE-E-WAY, INC. v. Sony Corporation et al. — 337-TA-943 · U.S. International Trade Commission · Active

5. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatentrolls.com — sample only.