

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 8839293 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8839293 (the "8839293 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

I have successfully retrieved the core information for US Patent 8,839,293 from the provided text, including the title, assignee, inventors, filing date, and issue date. I also have the complete text of the patent, which will allow me to summarize the abstract and explain the independent claims in plain language.

However, my initial search of the CAFC dockets for 2026 did not yield any specific results for this patent number. This does not definitively mean there is no litigation, as court records can be complex to search and may not always be publicly indexed in a way that is easily accessible through general web searches. To provide a more thorough answer regarding litigation, a more...

4. Litigation History of the Patent

Public records reflect that the 8839293 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- ContentNexus LLC v. Buildscale Inc — 2:26-cv-00318 · Texas Eastern District Court · filed 2026-04-22 · Open
- ContentNexus LLC v. Red Bull GMBH — 2:26-cv-00323 · Texas Eastern District Court · filed 2026-04-22 · Open

3. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatenttrols.com — sample only.