

**SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE.** This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

June 1, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

**Re: U.S. Patent No. 8830293B2 — Response to Assertion of Infringement**

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8830293B2 (the "8830293B2 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

## **1. Subject Patent — Summary**

US Patent 8830293B2, titled "Video superposition for continuous presence," was issued to Cisco Technology Inc. with inventors J. William Mauchly and Richard Thayer Wales. The patent was filed on May 26, 2009, and granted on September 9, 2014.

Abstract:

The patent describes techniques for combining video frames from two or more real-time video streams into a single combined video stream for continuous presence, especially in video conferencing. Each original video stream contains subject and background images. The method involves positioning the subject image of a first video stream in an anterior (foreground) portion of the combined frame, and the subject image of a second video stream in...

## **2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art**

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the 8830293B2 Patent:

The most relevant prior art for US patent 8830293B2, "Video superposition for continuous presence," identified from its cited references, along with requested details, is as follows:

US Patent 8830293B2 Details:

- Title: Video superposition for continuous presence

- Publication Date: 2014-09-09
- Filing Date: 2009-05-26
- Priority Date: 2009-05-26
- Abstract: Techniques are described for combining video frames of two or more real-time video streams into combined video frames of a combined real-time video stream for continuous presence. Video frames of at least two real-time video streams are combined into combined video frames of a combined video stream. The combined video stream is supplied to a video display for displaying the combined video stream. Each video stream includes video frames with subject and background images. The subject images of corresponding video frames of the first and second video streams are combined into a combined video frame of a combined video stream such that the subject image of the first video stream is positioned in an anterior portion of the...

### **3. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103**

Independent of § 102, we believe the asserted claims are obvious in view of combinations of prior art that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine:

US patent 8830293B2, titled "Video superposition for continuous presence," aims to overcome limitations of prior art videoconferencing systems by combining real-time video streams in a way that provides continuous presence with a more natural, life-like appearance, such as an anterior/posterior arrangement of participants (e.g., stadium seating) while maintaining near life-size images.

An analysis of the independent claims (Claim 1 for method, Claim 10 for apparatus, and Claim 13 for logic, which share similar core features) and dependent claims suggests that the claimed invention would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art (POSITA) at the time of the invention (priority date 2009-05-26) when considering certain combinations of prior art.

Independent Claim Analysis (e.g., Claim 1)

Claim 1 recites a method comprising:

1. Receiving at least first and second real-time video streams, each with a subject image and a background image.
2. Combining the subject images of corresponding video frames into a combined frame such that the subject image of the first...

### **4. Litigation History of the Patent**

Public records reflect that the 8830293B2 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- Untitled case — IPR2025-01588 · PTAB · Not Instituted

### **5. Request**

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or

reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

**[Your Name]**

**DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.**

*Generated June 1, 2026 by ihatepatentrolls.com — sample only.*