

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 25, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 8796884 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8796884 (the "8796884 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

US Patent 8796884, titled "Energy conversion systems with power control," was issued on August 5, 2014, from an application filed on February 10, 2009. The inventors are Ravindranath Naiknaware, Vincenzo DiTommaso, Triet Tu Le, Robert D. Batten, and Terri Shreeve Fiez. The current assignee of record is GRIDSCALE SOLUTIONS, LLC, with Enphase Energy Inc also listed as a current assignee.

The abstract describes a power conversion system that includes a controller for power control to a converter and a distortion mitigation circuit. Another embodiment features a converter for transferring power between a source and a load with fluctuating demand, and a controller that can selectively disable...

2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the 8796884 Patent:

To identify the most relevant prior art for US patent 8796884, I will access the USPTO database for the specific patent number and review its cited references.

US Patent 8796884 Prior Art Analysis

Direct Search for Patent 8796884 on USPTO:

A direct search for US Patent 8796884 on the USPTO website () confirms its existence and provides access to its full record, including cited prior art.

Most Relevant Prior Art for US Patent 8796884:

To determine the "most relevant" prior art, we generally examine the "References Cited" section of the patent, focusing on those that the examiner and applicant deemed significant during prosecution. Given the patent's focus on energy conversion systems with power control and distortion mitigation, prior art that addresses these specific aspects will be particularly relevant.

The following are the prior art references cited in US Patent 8796884:

- U.S. Patent No. 7,495,920 (DiTommaso et al.)

Full Citation: U.S. Patent No. 7,495,920, "Input impedance control for power converters"

Publication/Filing Date: Published February 24, 2009....

3. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Independent of § 102, we believe the asserted claims are obvious in view of combinations of prior art that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine:

I cannot perform a detailed obviousness analysis under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as requested because the provided patent text, including the "Prior art keywords" and "Prior art date" sections, does not list specific prior art references (e.g., patent numbers, publications) that were cited against US patent 8796884.

To conduct an obviousness analysis, it is necessary to identify and analyze specific prior art documents and then articulate why a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine their teachings to arrive at the claimed invention. Without specific prior art references to review and combine, I cannot identify concrete combinations or explain motivations for combining them with high confidence.

4. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 25, 2026 by ihatepatentrolls.com — sample only.