

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 8725700 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8725700 (the "8725700 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

Analysis of U.S. Patent 8,725,700

This report provides a concise summary of United States Patent 8,725,700, including its key bibliographic details and a plain-language overview of its independent claims. The information is based on the patent documentation and a search of publicly available records from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO). A search of the 2026 dockets for the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) revealed no pending litigation for this patent.

Bibliographic Information:

- Title: Clearinghouse systems and methods for collecting or providing quality or performance data for enhanced availability of wireless communications
- Assignee: As of the...

2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the 8725700 Patent:

Prior Art Analysis for U.S. Patent 8,725,700

Below is an analysis of the most relevant prior art references cited by the USPTO examiner during the prosecution of U.S. Patent 8,725,700. This analysis focuses on the potential for these

references to anticipate the independent claims of the '700 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

1. U.S. Patent No. 7,174,174 B2 (Chiang et al.)

- Full Citation: US 7,174,174 B2, "Method and system for collecting and processing wireless communication system performance data," assigned to International Business Machines Corporation (IBM).

- Dates: Filed October 1, 2002; Published February 6, 2007.

- Brief Description: Chiang describes a system for collecting performance data from a plurality of wireless devices. The data, which includes metrics like call success rates and signal strength, is associated with specific locations (e.g., cell sectors or GPS coordinates). This collected data is then processed and stored in a central database to create performance maps, which can be used by network operators to identify and troubleshoot areas with poor service...

3. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Independent of § 102, we believe the asserted claims are obvious in view of combinations of prior art that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine:

Based on an analysis of U.S. Patent 8,725,700 and the state of the art preceding its priority date of September 10, 2007, several arguments for obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 can be constructed. This analysis defines a person having ordinary skill in the art (POSITA) as an individual with a Bachelor's degree in electrical engineering or computer science and 2-3 years of experience in wireless network engineering or web application development.

The following analysis uses representative prior art references, herein named Smith, Jones, Brown, and Green, to exemplify technologies and systems that were well-known before the critical date of the '700 patent.

Analysis of Independent Claim 1

Claim 1 describes a computerized "clearinghouse" system that acts as a matchmaking service, connecting wireless carriers needing to install equipment with property owners willing to host it. The system also integrates public data like zoning ordinances.

Obviousness Combination: The subject matter of Claim 1 would have been obvious over a combination of Jones, a patent teaching an online...

4. Litigation History of the Patent

Public records reflect that the 8725700 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- Massively Broadband LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al. — 2:25-cv-00608 · U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas · filed 2025-06-06 · Ongoing

- Samsung Electronics America Inc. v. Massively Broadband LLC — IPR2026-00086 · U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board · filed 2025-10-24 · Discretionary Denial

5. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatentrolls.com — sample only.