

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 8621627 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8621627 (the "8621627 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

As a senior US patent analyst, I have conducted a thorough review of US Patent 8,621,627 as of May 1, 2026. Below is a concise summary of the patent and its legal status.

Summary of US Patent 8,621,627

Title: Intrusion detection and prevention processing within network interface circuitry

Assignee: The original assignee is Chelsio Communications Inc. The patent has been assigned multiple times, including to various banks as security interests, but the most recent assignments on record with the USPTO show these security interests have been released. As of the latest available information, Chelsio Communications Inc. appears to be the current assignee.

Inventors:

- Asgeir Thor Eiriksson

- ...

4. Litigation History of the Patent

Public records reflect that the 8621627 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- Speednic LLC v. Nvidia Corp et al. — 7:26-cv-00148 · Texas Western District Court · filed 2026-04-16 · Open

3. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatenttrols.com — sample only.