

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 7793332 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7793332 (the "7793332 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

Of course, here is the requested analysis of U.S. Patent No. 7,793,332.

Patent Information Summary

- Title: Signal processing apparatus and methods
- Assignee: The current assignee of record is Contentnexus LLC, as of a reassignment on June 9, 2025. The original assignee was Personalized Media Communications LLC.
- Inventors: John C. Harvey, James W. Cuddihy
- Filing Date: June 7, 1995
- Issue Date: September 7, 2010
- Abstract: The patent describes an integrated system for processing electronic signals, covering television, radio, and computer communications. The system involves methods and apparatus for automatically handling, recording, retransmitting, regulating, metering, and...

2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the 7793332 Patent:

Analysis of Prior Art for U.S. Patent No. 7,793,332

This analysis details the prior art cited during the prosecution of U.S. Patent No. 7,793,332.

Each reference is examined for its potential to anticipate the patent's claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102. The information is based on the patent's file history and the content of the cited documents. The examiner's citations on the face of the patent are categorized as "Cited by Examiner." These references were considered during the examination process and determined not to invalidate the claims as granted. The potential for anticipation is assessed against the key independent claims (1, 13, 20, 26, and 37) previously summarized.

Cited by Examiner

1. U.S. Patent No. 4,025,851: "Broadcast Signal Identification System"

Full Citation: Haselwood, D. et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,025,851, issued May 24, 1977. Filed May 20, 1975.

Brief Description: This patent describes a system for embedding an identification code into a television signal's video portion. The code, which can identify the program, broadcast station, and time of transmission, is...

4. Litigation History of the Patent

Public records reflect that the 7793332 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- ContentNexus LLC v. Skyworth Group Co Ltd — 2:26-cv-00324 · Texas Eastern District Court · filed 2026-04-22 · Open
- ContentNexus LLC v. Red Bull GMBH — 2:26-cv-00323 · Texas Eastern District Court · filed 2026-04-22 · Open
- ContentNexus LLC v. Rakuten Group Inc — 2:26-cv-00322 · Texas Eastern District Court · filed 2026-04-22 · Open

4. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatentrolls.com — sample only.