

**SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE.** This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

**Re: U.S. Patent No. 7760733 — Response to Assertion of Infringement**

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7760733 (the "7760733 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

## **1. Subject Patent — Summary**

A detailed analysis of U.S. Patent 7,760,733 reveals a technology focused on enhancing network efficiency by offloading packet filtering tasks to the network interface card (NIC), a hardware component. This approach is designed to reduce the processing load on a host computer's main processor.

Patent Number: US 7,760,733 B1

Title: Filtering ingress packets in network interface circuitry

Assignee: Chelsio Communications, Inc.

Inventors: Asgeir Thor Eiriksson, Chris Yuhong Mao

Filing Date: October 13, 2005

Issue Date: July 20, 2010

Abstract: The patent describes a method for managing data transfer between a peer application and a host computer through network interface circuitry. This...

## **4. Litigation History of the Patent**

Public records reflect that the 7760733 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- Speednic LLC v. Nvidia Corp et al. — 7:26-cv-00148 · Texas Western District Court · filed

2026-04-16 · Open

### 3. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

**DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.**

*Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatentrolls.com — sample only.*