

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 7519814 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7519814 (the "7519814 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

Analysis of U.S. Patent 7,519,814: System for Containerization of Application Sets

Date of Analysis: April 26, 2026

This report provides a summary of U.S. Patent No. 7,519,814, including its key bibliographic details and a plain-language explanation of its independent claims. The patent has recently been the subject of legal proceedings.

Bibliographic Information:

- Title: System for containerization of application sets
- Assignee: The current assignee is listed as Virtamove Corp. The original assignee was Trigence Corp.
- Inventors: Donn Rochette, Paul O'Leary, Dean Huffman
- Filing Date: September 13, 2004
- Issue Date: April 14, 2009
- Abstract: "A system is disclosed having servers..."

2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the 7519814 Patent:

Analysis of Prior Art Cited in U.S. Patent 7,519,814

This section details the prior art references cited by the examiner during the prosecution of U.S. Patent 7,519,814. For each reference, this analysis provides the citation, relevant dates, a brief description of the disclosed technology, and an assessment of which claims in the '814 patent it could potentially anticipate under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

The '814 patent has a priority date of September 15, 2003. Therefore, any reference published or filed before this date constitutes prior art.

1. U.S. Patent 5,944,781

- Full Citation: US Patent 5,944,781, "Method and system for providing an isolated computing environment within a computer system"
- Inventors: Murray, et al.
- Filing Date: June 25, 1997
- Publication (Issue) Date: August 31, 1999
- Brief Description: This patent describes a system for creating isolated computing environments, termed "virtual machines," on a single computer. Each virtual machine has its own set of resources, including a separate file system and network address, effectively isolating it from other...

3. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Independent of § 102, we believe the asserted claims are obvious in view of combinations of prior art that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine:

Analysis of Obviousness for U.S. Patent 7,519,814

Date of Analysis: May 14, 2026

Patent: US 7,519,814 B2

Title: System for containerization of application sets

Priority Date: September 15, 2003

This analysis examines the obviousness of the independent claims of US Patent 7,519,814 in light of prior art existing before its priority date of September 15, 2003, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 103.

A Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art (PHOSITA) at the time of the invention would be a systems administrator or operating systems developer with several years of experience in Unix-like operating systems (e.g., BSD, Linux, Solaris), including knowledge of system virtualization, application deployment, and network services management.

The claims of the '814 patent are rendered obvious by a combination of prior art technologies that were well-established and widely understood before the priority date. The primary teaching is found in FreeBSD Jails, first released with FreeBSD 4.0 on March 14, 2000. Secondary teachings related to system call interception and software packaging practices were...

4. Litigation History of the Patent

Public records reflect that the 7519814 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- VirtaMove Corp. v. International Business Machines Corporation — Texas

- VirtaMove Corp. v. Hewlett Packard Enterprise — Texas
- VirtaMove Corp. v. Microsoft Corporation — Texas
- VirtaMove Corp. v. Oracle Corporation — Texas
- Virtamove Corp. v. International Business Machines Corp. et al. — 2:24-cv-00093 · U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas · Consolidated; settlement in progress
- Virtamove Corp. v. Google LLC — 5:25-cv-00860 · U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California · filed 2024-01-31 · Active; partial dismissal
- Virtamove Corp. v. Amazon.com Inc. — U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas · Active
- Virtamove Corp. v. Oracle Corp. — Ongoing
- ...and 3 additional case(s)

5. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatentrolls.com — sample only.