

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 7482916 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7482916 (the "7482916 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

Patent Analysis: US 7,482,916

Date of Analysis: April 26, 2026

Patent Number: 7,482,916

Summary

|||

|---|---|

| Title | Automatic signaling systems for vehicles |

| Assignee | Wyoming Technology Licensing LLC |

| Inventors | Anita Au, Gerald Chan |

| Filing Date | January 28, 2005 |

| Issue Date | January 27, 2009 |

| Abstract | An automatic signaling system includes a processor having an input for receiving a signal from a sensor, and an output configured to be coupled to a signaling system of a vehicle, the signaling system having a turn signal light, wherein the processor is configured to automatically activate the turn signal light based at least in part on the signal received...

2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more

asserted claims of the 7482916 Patent:

Prior Art Analysis for U.S. Patent No. 7,482,916

The following analysis details the most relevant prior art cited during the prosecution of U.S. Patent No. 7,482,916. These references were considered by the USPTO examiner and/or cited by the applicant and are therefore material to the patentability of the claimed invention. The analysis focuses on the potential for these references to anticipate the patent's claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102, which requires that a single prior art reference disclose each and every element of a claimed invention.

Reference 1: U.S. Patent No. 5,712,618 ("the '618 patent")

- Full Citation: U.S. Patent No. 5,712,618, "Automatic turn signal device," issued to Dale K. Pratt.
- Dates: Filed August 15, 1995; Issued January 27, 1998.
- Description: The '618 patent discloses a device that automatically activates a vehicle's turn signals. The system uses sensors to measure the steering wheel's angle of rotation, the vehicle's lateral speed, and its lateral acceleration. A microprocessor analyzes these inputs to determine if the driver is initiating a turn...

3. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Independent of § 102, we believe the asserted claims are obvious in view of combinations of prior art that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine:

Obviousness Analysis of U.S. Patent No. 7,482,916

To the Examiner:

This analysis details the grounds for considering the claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,482,916 ("the '916 patent") to be obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of prior art available before the earliest priority date of March 15, 2004.

The '916 patent describes an automatic signaling system for vehicles. The core of the invention lies in using a sensor to detect a vehicle's position relative to lane markings and a processor to automatically activate the turn signal when the vehicle is about to change lanes. While the patent presents several embodiments, the fundamental concept was well-established in the field of automotive safety systems prior to 2004.

The following analysis will demonstrate that a person of ordinary skill in the art (POSITA) at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine existing technologies to arrive at the claimed invention with a reasonable expectation of success.

State of the Art Prior to March 15, 2004:

Before 2004, the automotive industry was actively developing...

4. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatenttrols.com — sample only.