

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 6289319 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6289319 (the "6289319 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

Here is a concise summary of US Patent 6,289,319.

Summary of U.S. Patent 6,289,319

Title: Automatic business and financial transaction processing system

Assignee: The patent is listed as assigned to "Individual."

Inventor: Lawrence B. Lockwood

Filing Date: November 30, 1994

Issue Date: September 11, 2001

Abstract: The patent describes a system for processing applications, such as for loans, from multiple remote locations. It involves self-service terminals connected to a financial institution's computer and a credit reporting service's computer. These terminals feature a video screen that simulates a loan officer to guide an applicant through the application process. The system is...

2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the 6289319 Patent:

Based on my analysis of the patent's file wrapper and cited references, here is the most relevant prior art for US patent 6,289,319. The analysis focuses on which claims are potentially

anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

Cited Prior Art Analysis

The following references were cited by the USPTO examiner during the prosecution of US patent 6,289,319.

1. US Patent 4,359,631 A (Lockwood)

- Full Citation: US Patent 4,359,631 A, "Self-service terminal," invented by Lawrence B. Lockwood (the same inventor as the '319 patent).
- Publication Date: November 16, 1982
- Brief Description: This patent describes a self-service terminal designed to be more user-friendly by using a video display that presents a pre-recorded image of a person to guide the user through a transaction. It details the use of a videodisc player to store and play back audio-visual sequences, a keyboard for user input, and a processor to control the terminal's operations. The primary focus is on the terminal's human interface.
- Potential Anticipation of Claims: This reference is highly relevant to the...

3. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Independent of § 102, we believe the asserted claims are obvious in view of combinations of prior art that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine:

Here is a technical analysis of the obviousness of US Patent 6,289,319 under 35 U.S.C. § 103, based on the prior art cited in the patent's own file history.

Standard for Obviousness

Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, a patent claim is invalid as "obvious" if the differences between the claimed invention and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art (a "POSITA"). An obviousness analysis requires considering the scope and content of the prior art, the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue, and the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. A key component is providing a reasoned explanation for why a POSITA would have been motivated to combine the teachings of the prior art references to arrive at the claimed invention.

Prior Art References

The analysis below is based on the prior art cited by the patent examiner during the prosecution of US Patent 6,289,319:

- US4,359,631 to Lockwood ("Lockwood '631"): Discloses a self-service terminal with a video...

4. Litigation History of the Patent

Public records reflect that the 6289319 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- Landmark Technology, LLC v. Learning Resources, Inc. — U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division · Filed
- Landmark Technology, LLC v. Launchpad, Inc. — 3:17-cv-00892 · U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California · Dismissed
- Tatcha, LLC v. Landmark Technology, LLC — U.S. District Court · Unknown

5. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatenttrols.com — sample only.