

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 12417756 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 12417756 (the "12417756 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

Summary of U.S. Patent 12,417,756

As of the current date, April 30, 2026, the following is a summary of United States Patent number 12,417,756. This information is based on the authoritative patent text. A search of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) 2026 dockets yielded no results for this patent number.

Title: Systems and methods for real-time accent mimicking

Assignee: Sanas Ai Inc.

Inventors:

- Ankita Jha
- Lukas Pfeifenberger
- Piotr Dura
- David Braude
- Alvaro Escudero
- Shawn Zhang
- Maxim Serebryakov
- Sharath Kashava Narayana

Filing Date: January 17, 2025

Issue Date: September 16, 2025

Abstract:

The patent describes technology for real-time...

2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the 12417756 Patent:

Analysis of Prior Art Cited in U.S. Patent 12,417,756

Based on the patent documentation for U.S. Patent 12,417,756, the following patent documents have been cited as prior art by the examiner. This analysis details the most relevant of these citations and their potential impact on the patent's claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (Anticipation). A patent claim is anticipated if a single prior art reference discloses each and every element of the claim. The independent claims of the '756 patent (claims 1, 7, and 14) broadly cover a system, method, and non-transitory computer-readable medium for modifying a second user's speech to mimic a first user's accent while preserving the second user's natural voice characteristics, using machine learning models.

Key Prior Art and Potential Anticipation

The following references are identified as the most relevant to the claims of U.S. Patent 12,417,756.

1. U.S. Patent 9,129,602 B1: "Mimicking user speech patterns"

- Full Citation: US Patent 9,129,602 B1
- Assignee: Amazon Technologies, Inc.
- Filing Date: December 14, 2012
- Publication...

3. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Independent of § 102, we believe the asserted claims are obvious in view of combinations of prior art that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine:

Obviousness Analysis of U.S. Patent 12,417,756 under 35 U.S.C. § 103

This analysis examines whether the claimed invention in U.S. Patent 12,417,756 would have been obvious to a Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art (PHOSITA) at the time of the invention, based on the prior art cited in the patent's prosecution history. An invention is considered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 if the differences between the claimed invention and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to a PHOSITA.

A PHOSITA in this field would typically possess a graduate degree in computer science or electrical engineering and have several years of experience in speech processing, machine learning, and digital signal processing. This individual would be familiar with technologies such as speech synthesis, voice conversion, speaker identification, and the application of machine learning models to audio data.

The independent claims (1, 7, and 14) of the '756 patent cover a process that can be broken down into the following key steps:

1. Analyze a first user's speech using...

4. Litigation History of the Patent

Public records reflect that the 12417756 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- Sanas.AI Inc. v. Krisp Technologies, Inc. — 3:25-cv-05666 · U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California · filed 2025-07-07 · Ongoing
- Krisp Technologies, Inc. v. Sanas.AI Inc. — PGR2026-00033 · USPTO Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) · filed 2026-03-30 · Pending

5. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatentrolls.com — sample only.