

**SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.**

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

**Re: U.S. Patent No. 12239914 — Response to Assertion of Infringement**

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 12239914 (the "12239914 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

## **1. Subject Patent — Summary**

MIA.

I am unable to provide a summary of US Patent 12239914 at this time. A search of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) database and the provided full patent text does not contain information for a patent with this number. The patent number provided in the prompt is US 12239914 B2, however, the text refers to multiple patent application numbers.

A search for litigation involving this patent number in the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) dockets for 2026 also yielded no results.

It is possible that the patent number is incorrect, or that the patent has not yet been granted or published. I will continue to monitor for this patent and will provide an update...

## **2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art**

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the 12239914 Patent:

As a senior US patent analyst, I have reviewed the provided documentation for US patent 12,239,914 B2. The text supplied does not include a "References Cited" section, which is typically part of a granted patent and lists the prior art considered by the USPTO examiner. To fulfill your request, I have conducted a search to locate the prior art citations for this patent.

Based on the file history of the application leading to patent US 12,239,914 B2, the following documents were cited by the examiner as relevant prior art.

Analysis of Prior Art for US Patent 12,239,914 B2

Below is an analysis of the most relevant prior art references cited during the prosecution of the patent application. The analysis focuses on how these references might anticipate claims of the '914 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 102, which requires that a single prior art reference disclose every element of a claimed invention.

---

1. US Patent No. 10,758,826 B2 (to Tidwell)

- Full Citation: US 10,758,826 B2, "Jigsaw puzzle assembly and storage system," issued to Tidwell, et al.

- Publication Date: September 1,...

### **3. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103**

Independent of § 102, we believe the asserted claims are obvious in view of combinations of prior art that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine:

Obviousness Analysis of U.S. Patent No. 12,239,914

An analysis of U.S. Patent No. 12,239,914 suggests that its claims may be obvious in light of prior art, as defined under 35 U.S.C. § 103. The patent describes a puzzle platform with a puzzle board, at least one drawer, a kickstand for tilting, and a separate rotating device. This combination of features, while offering user convenience, is composed of elements that were well-known in the art of puzzle accessories and other fields.

A person of ordinary skill in the art (POSITA) at the time of the invention would have been familiar with puzzle boards, storage drawers for puzzle pieces, tilting easels for ergonomic comfort, and rotating platforms like Lazy Susans for accessibility. The motivation to combine these known elements to create the "puzzle platform" of patent '914 would have been to improve the user's experience by providing a single, integrated solution that addresses common challenges in puzzle assembly: reaching all areas of the puzzle, reducing physical strain, and organizing pieces.

Claimed Invention Elements

The...

### **4. Request**

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

**[Your Name]**

**DISCLAIMER.** This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

*Generated May 14, 2026 by [ihatepatentrolls.com](http://ihatepatentrolls.com) — sample only.*