

**SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE.** This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

**Re: U.S. Patent No. 11997568 — Response to Assertion of Infringement**

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 11997568 (the "11997568 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

## **1. Subject Patent — Summary**

Analyst Report on U.S. Patent No. 11,997,568

Date of Analysis: April 26, 2026

Subject: A concise summary and analysis of U.S. Patent No. 11,997,568.

Findings:

A thorough search of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) database and the 2026 dockets of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) for U.S. Patent No. 11,997,568 yielded no specific results for a patent with this number.

Therefore, a summary of the patent's title, assignee, inventors, filing date, issue date, and abstract cannot be provided at this time. Consequently, a plain-language overview of its independent claims is also not possible.

Similarly, no records of litigation involving a patent...

## **2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art**

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the 11997568 Patent:

Based on the newly provided authoritative text for US patent 11,997,568, the previous analyses dated April 26, 2026, and May 6, 2026, are now superseded. The patent does exist and has an

active legal status. This report provides an analysis of the prior art cited during its prosecution.  
Analysis of Prior Art Cited Against US Patent 11,997,568

The following analysis focuses on the prior art references cited by the USPTO examiner during the prosecution of the application for patent 11,997,568. These references are considered the most relevant for assessing the patent's novelty. The core inventive concept of US patent 11,997,568 revolves around granting a non-subscriber temporary access to a Push-to-Talk-over-Cellular (POC) talkgroup by transmitting a communication that includes a link to download the required software application.

---

1. US 2018/0278718 A1 (Motorola Solutions, Inc.)

- Full Citation: US Patent Application Publication No. US 2018/0278718 A1, "Method and apparatus for a cloud-based broadband push-to-talk configuration portal," published September 27, 2018.

- Filing...

### **3. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103**

Independent of § 102, we believe the asserted claims are obvious in view of combinations of prior art that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine:

Obviousness Analysis under 35 U.S.C. § 103

This analysis assesses whether the invention claimed in U.S. Patent No. 11,997,568 would have been obvious to a Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art (PHOSITA) at the time the invention was made. An invention is considered obvious if the differences between the claimed invention and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to a PHOSITA. This analysis considers combinations of the prior art references cited during prosecution.

The independent claims (1, 8, and 15) of the '568 patent are centered on a method and system for managing access to a Push-to-Talk-over-Cellular (POC) talkgroup. The key steps involve:

1. A control device managing a POC service and talkgroup.
2. Receiving contact information for a non-subscriber device from a subscriber device.
3. Granting the non-subscriber device access to the POC service and talkgroup.
4. Transmitting a communication to the non-subscriber containing a link to download the necessary POC software application.

The following combinations of prior art would...

### **4. Request**

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

**DISCLAIMER.** This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

*Generated May 14, 2026 by [ihatepatenttrols.com](http://ihatepatenttrols.com) — sample only.*