

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 11724003 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 11724003 (the "11724003 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

Here is a summary of U.S. Patent 11,724,003:

Title: Firearm trigger mechanism

Assignee: ABC IP, LLC

Inventor: Mladen Thomas Strbac

Filing Date: October 21, 2022

Issue Date: August 15, 2023

Abstract:

A trigger mechanism that can be used in AR-pattern firearms has a hammer, a trigger member, a disconnecter, a locking member, and a "three position" safety selector having safe, standard semi-automatic, and forced reset semi-automatic positions. In the standard semi-automatic position, rearward movement of the bolt carrier causes rearward pivoting of the hammer such that the disconnecter hook catches the hammer hook, at which time a user must manually release the trigger member to free...

4. Litigation History of the Patent

Public records reflect that the 11724003 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- Rare Breed Triggers Inc et al. v. Gaven L Poczekaj, Sr et al. — 4:26-cv-00374 · Texas Eastern District Court · filed 2026-04-14 · Open
- Rare Breed Triggers Inc et al. v. Tyler Harrison et al. — 4:26-cv-00379 · Texas Eastern District Court · filed 2026-04-14 · Open
- Rare Breed Triggers Inc et al. v. Nicholas Norton et al. — 26-1527 · Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit · filed 2026-03-17 · Open
- Rare Breed Triggers Inc et al. v. Canuck Tactical LLC — 2:26-cv-00576 · Louisiana Eastern District Court · filed 2026-03-17 · Open
- Rare Breed Triggers Inc et al. v. TRG Ventures, LP, A Texas Limited Partnership et al. — 2:26-cv-00201 · Texas Eastern District Court · filed 2026-03-13 · Open
- Rare Breed Triggers Inc et al. v. Optic Planet Inc — 1:26-cv-01072 · Illinois Northern District Court · filed 2026-01-29 · Open

3. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatenttrols.com — sample only.