

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 11516643 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 11516643 (the "11516643 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

A concise summary of US Patent 11,516,643 is as follows:

Title: Connection specific selection of automated response messages

Assignee: CEDARWOOD VENTURES, INC.

Inventors: Lorelee Hajdu, Oliver Hajdu

Filing Date: November 14, 2020

Issue Date: November 29, 2022

Abstract:

A system and method, implemented through software and a computer processor, for providing customized automated responses to incoming electronic messages. The invention is particularly aimed at preventing distracted driving or other distractions by utilizing an application on a smartphone or other computerized device. This device is configured to automatically connect to various other devices, such as Bluetooth...

4. Litigation History of the Patent

Public records reflect that the 11516643 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- Cedarwood Ventures Inc v. Apple Inc — 7:26-cv-00152 · Texas Western District Court · filed

2026-04-17 · Open

- Cedarwood Ventures, Inc. v. Google LLC — U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas · filed 2026-05-06 · Active
- Cedarwood Ventures, Inc. v. Verizon Wireless — U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas · filed 2026-05-06 · Active

3. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatentrolls.com — sample only.