

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

June 1, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 11490647 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 11490647 (the "11490647 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

Here is a concise summary of US patent 11490647:

US Patent 11490647

- Title: Sandwich maker for making crustless sealed sandwich
- Assignee: Jetteo LLC [cite: The provided patent text] (Originally assigned to Individual [cite: The provided patent text])
- Inventors: Kei Tawara [cite: The provided patent text]
- Filing Date: January 17, 2020 [cite: The provided patent text]
- Issue Date: November 8, 2022 [cite: The provided patent text]
- Abstract: The patent describes a sandwich maker designed to cut and seal sandwiches into various shapes. It is made from semi-transparent or transparent material, allowing users to monitor the process. The apparatus consists of multiple pieces that can...

2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the 11490647 Patent:

To identify the most relevant prior art for US patent 11490647, I will examine each patent cited as prior art within the US11490647B2 document itself. The Google Patents link provides a list of

"Patent Citations" which are explicitly stated as "Cited by examiner" unless otherwise noted. This indicates that the examiner considered these documents during the prosecution of US11490647.

Here's an analysis of the most relevant prior art cited by the examiner for US patent 11490647: Most Relevant Prior Art for US11490647

1. US6004596A - Sealed crustless sandwich

- Full Citation: US6004596A [cite: The provided patent text]
- Publication/Filing Date: Publication date: December 21, 1999; Priority date: December 8, 1997 [cite: The provided patent text]
- Brief Description: This patent describes a sealed crustless sandwich designed for convenience and extended storage without filling leakage. The sandwich includes lower and upper bread portions with upper, lower, and center fillings, all sealed by a crimped edge along the outer perimeter of the bread. It aims to provide a crustless sandwich...

3. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Independent of § 102, we believe the asserted claims are obvious in view of combinations of prior art that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine:

To assess the obviousness of US patent 11490647 under 35 U.S.C. § 103, we will analyze the independent claims and their dependencies in light of the cited prior art. A finding of obviousness requires demonstrating that a Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art (PHOSITA) would have been motivated to combine known prior art elements to arrive at the claimed invention, with a reasonable expectation of success. The PHOSITA in this field would likely have experience in designing kitchen appliances, food preparation tools, or food manufacturing equipment.

Limitation of Analysis:

The detailed descriptions and full claim sets for the cited prior art patents (US6004596A, US6754965B1, US20080241326A1, US20150291309A1, US20210137317A1, US11412742B2) are not provided in the authoritative text. Therefore, this analysis relies on the titles and brief descriptions available, which limits the depth of the obviousness arguments. Any specific structural or functional elements of the prior art can only be inferred from these limited details.

Obviousness Analysis of Claim 1

Claim 1: A sandwich...

4. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated June 1, 2026 by ihatepatenttrols.com — sample only.