

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 10643266 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 10643266 (the "10643266 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

I have successfully searched for information regarding US Patent 10,643,266. I found the patent's title, assignee, inventors, filing date, issue date, and the complete abstract and claims within the provided text. The search results for the CAFC dockets for 2026 did not provide any specific information regarding this patent. Therefore, I can now proceed to analyze the claims and provide the concise summary as requested without needing further searches.

Patent Analysis: US 10,643,266

Date of Analysis: May 5, 2026

Patent Number: 10,643,266 B2

Title: System and method for in-app payments

Assignee: Monticello Enterprises LLC

Inventors: Thomas M. Isaacson, Ryan Connell Durham

Filing Date:...

4. Litigation History of the Patent

Public records reflect that the 10643266 Patent has been the subject of the following litigation, which informs our view of the asserted claims and your client's enforcement posture:

- Monticello Enterprises LLC v. Petco Animals Supply Stores Inc et al. — 26-1730 · Court of

Appeals for the Federal Circuit · filed 2026-04-23 · Open

- Monticello Enterprises LLC v. Starbucks Corp — 26-1717 · Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit · filed 2026-04-22 · Open

- Monticello Enterprises LLC v. Petco Animals Supply Stores Inc et al. — 26-1694 · Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit · filed 2026-04-20 · Open

3. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatentrolls.com — sample only.