

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

June 1, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 10469554B2 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 10469554B2 (the "10469554B2 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

Here is a concise summary of US Patent 10469554B2:

US Patent 10469554B2

- Title: Apparatus, system, and method for multi-bitrate content streaming
- Assignee: Dish Technologies LLC [cite: US10469554B2]
- Inventors: David F. Brueck, Mark B. Hurst, R. Drew Major [cite: US10469554B2]
- Filing Date: 2019-01-18 [cite: US10469554B2]
- Issue Date: 2019-11-05 [cite: US10469554B2]
- Abstract: An apparatus for multi-bitrate content streaming includes a receiving module configured to capture media content, a streamlet module configured to segment the media content and generate a plurality of streamlets, and an encoding module configured to generate a set of streamlets. The system includes the...

2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the 10469554B2 Patent:

The USPTO Patent Public Search tool is the appropriate resource for this task. I will proceed with searching for the patent and its citations. Due to the limitations of this environment, I cannot

directly interact with live web forms like the USPTO's search interface to retrieve the full list of prior art citations and their detailed descriptions. Therefore, I cannot provide a detailed analysis of each prior art reference, including a brief description and which claims it potentially anticipates under 35 U.S.C. § 102, as that requires direct access to and interpretation of the cited documents.

However, I can describe the process one would follow using the USPTO Patent Public Search (PPUBS) to find this information and explain the legal standard for anticipation.

To identify the most relevant prior art for US Patent 10469554B2, one would typically perform the following steps using the USPTO Patent Public Search (PPUBS) tool:

1. Access Patent Public Search: Navigate to the USPTO Patent Public Search website.
2. Search for US10469554B2: Use the "Quick lookup" or "Advanced search"...

3. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Independent of § 102, we believe the asserted claims are obvious in view of combinations of prior art that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine:

I cannot perform a detailed obviousness analysis of US Patent 10469554B2 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 at this time.

The "Prior art" section of this analysis explicitly states that, due to the limitations of this environment, a live search of the USPTO Patent Public Search (PPUBS) tool could not be performed to retrieve the full list of cited prior art references and their detailed descriptions. The section only outlined the process for obtaining this information.

To conduct an obviousness analysis, it is essential to have:

1. A complete list of prior art references cited during the prosecution of US10469554B2, as well as potentially other relevant prior art.
2. The content (specifications, drawings, claims) of each identified prior art reference.
3. An understanding of the claimed invention in US10469554B2 (which is available from the claims summary).

Without access to the actual content of the prior art references, I cannot:

- Identify specific combinations of prior art.
- Determine what each prior art reference discloses.
- Articulate a motivation for a person having ordinary skill in...

4. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated June 1, 2026 by ihatepatentrolls.com — sample only.