

SAMPLE — NOT LEGAL ADVICE. This response letter was generated automatically from publicly available analysis. It has NOT been reviewed by a licensed attorney and SHOULD NOT BE SENT to any party without substantial review and customization by qualified patent counsel. Use as a starting point only.

[Your Name]

[Your Title]

[Your Company]

[Street Address, City, State ZIP]

May 14, 2026

[Opposing Counsel Name]

[Firm Name]

[Address]

Re: U.S. Patent No. 027852 — Response to Assertion of Infringement

Dear Counsel,

We acknowledge receipt of your correspondence asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 027852 (the "027852 Patent"). After preliminary review, we have substantial concerns about the validity, enforceability, and scope of the asserted claims, summarized below. We reserve all rights and defenses.

1. Subject Patent — Summary

Analysis of US Patent 027852

As of April 28, 2026, a search for U.S. Patent number 027852 in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) database and the dockets of the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) for 2026 did not yield a matching utility patent. The number "027852" does not conform to standard modern or historical USPTO utility patent numbering conventions.

It is possible that this number corresponds to a different type of patent (e.g., a design patent, though formatting is still atypical) or contains a typographical error. Searches for similar numbers have revealed patents related to coronaviruses, but these are distinct from the requested patent number....

2. Validity Concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 102 — Prior Art

We have identified prior-art references that, in our preliminary view, anticipate one or more asserted claims of the 027852 Patent:

Prior Art Analysis for US Patent 027852

As of April 29, 2026, a search of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) database and other public patent repositories for U.S. Patent 027852 yields no results. This confirms the findings from the "Patent Summary" and "Litigation Summary" sections previously

generated. The patent number 027852 does not correspond to a known, issued U.S. patent. Prior art is defined as any information that has been made available to the public before a given date that might be relevant to a patent's claims of originality. Under U.S. law, prior art can include U.S. patents, published U.S. patent applications, foreign patents, and a variety of non-patent literature. This art is used by patent examiners and cited by applicants to determine the novelty and non-obviousness of a claimed invention.

A patent's "citations" or "references" section lists the prior art that was considered during its examination. This list includes references found by the USPTO examiner and those disclosed by the applicant in an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)....

3. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Independent of § 102, we believe the asserted claims are obvious in view of combinations of prior art that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine:

Obviousness Analysis of US Patent 027852 under 35 U.S.C. § 103

As of April 29, 2026, an analysis of the obviousness of US patent 027852 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 cannot be performed. The legal standard for obviousness requires a factual inquiry into the scope and content of the prior art, the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue, and the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. The core of this analysis involves comparing one or more prior art references against the specific limitations of a patent's claims to determine if the claimed invention would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made.

This analysis is precluded by the findings established in the previously generated sections of this patent report:

1. Absence of Patent Claims: The "Patent Summary" concludes that US patent 027852 does not correspond to a known, issued U.S. patent. Consequently, there are no claims to analyze. An obviousness assessment is fundamentally an evaluation of the patentability of the claimed subject matter; without claims, there is...

4. Request

In light of the foregoing, we request that your client (i) provide a detailed claim chart identifying each accused product or service and mapping every limitation of each asserted claim, (ii) identify any prior art known to your client, including any references cited during prosecution or reexamination, and (iii) substantiate the basis for any damages or licensing demand. We are prepared to discuss the matter further once we have received and reviewed the foregoing.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

DISCLAIMER. This document is a machine-generated sample. The factual assertions, prior-art citations, and legal arguments above are AI-produced and may contain errors, omissions, or outdated information. Do not transmit this letter, in whole or in part, to any party. This is not legal advice; no attorney-client relationship is created by its existence. Consult a licensed patent attorney before responding to any patent-infringement assertion.

Generated May 14, 2026 by ihatepatentrolls.com — sample only.